



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,079	12/05/2003	Scott P. Law	2802-204-040	8845

7590 10/22/2004

Joseph J. Pophal
PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION
6035 Parkland Boulevard
Cleveland, OH 44124-4141

EXAMINER

ALI, MOHAMMAD M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3744

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

47

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,079	Applicant(s) LAW ET AL.	
	Examiner Mohammad Ali	Art Unit 3744	

All Participants:

(1) Mohammad Ali.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Non Final rejection

(3) Joseph Pophal.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

5,269,459 to Thompson et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Mea

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During interview the Applicant's representative opined that the mechanically staked portion of claim 1 is not taught by Thomson et al. Examiner finally conveyed that the meaning of staked is fastening or securing as obtained from Microsoft Bookshelf and therefore, it makes no difference from the fastening arrangement of Thomson et al. However, if any amendment on this feature clearly shows difference with the fastening arrangement of Thomson et al. the case will be considered on that merit subject to further search and reexamination. .